

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/843,323   | 04/25/2001     | Allan M. Radman      | 7103-200 8785           |                 |  |
| 75   | 590 09/17/2003 |                      |                         | _               |  |
| Linda D. Kennedy<br>Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione<br>NBC Tower, Suite 3600 |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |  |
|  |                |                      | RACHUBA, MAURINA T      |                 |  |
| P.O. Box 10395<br>Chicago, IL 60610                                      |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
|  |                |                      | 3723                    |                 |  |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003 |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |   |  |   | <i>G</i>     |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | į.   | Application No.   |  | Applicant(s)  |              |  |  |  |  |
| الجماع   |  | 09/843,323  |  | RADMAN, ALLAN M.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  |  | Art Unit  |              |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | M Rachuba   |  | 3723  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | ears on the cover   | r sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | lress        |  |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, howen within the statutory min will apply and will expire to cause the application to | ever, may a reply be tim<br>imum of thirty (30) day:<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>b become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | mmunication. |  |  |  |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <u> </u>  |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th   | is action is non-fi   | nal.   |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| •  | ion of Claims  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | 4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| •  | 6) Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  | r election require  | ment.  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|  | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept  |   | ed to by the Exa   | miner   |              |  |  |  |  |
| .0,  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   | •  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The proposed drawing correction filed on   |   |  |   | r.           |  |  |  |  |
|  | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep  |   |  | •   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 13)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35   | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a   | )-(d) or (f).   |              |  |  |  |  |
| a)   | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been rece  | ived.  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| * ^  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But  | reau (PCT Rule 1  | 17.2(a)).  |   | Stage        |  |  |  |  |
|  | See the attached detailed Office action for a list   |   |  |   | onnlination) |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.        |  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  |  | _   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 4)  |  | (PTO-413) Paper No(s<br>Patent Application (PTO   |              |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/843,323

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-11, 14, and 16-20 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kimura et al, 5,651,724, as set forth in the Office action mailed January 24, 2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 12 and 13 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura et al, '724, as set forth in the Office action mailed January 24, 2003.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed July 25, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that '724 applies forces in addition to the force applied by the spindle, and therefore does not anticipate the claimed invention. The examiner strongly disagrees. '724 discloses a spindle which applies a downward mechanical force to the wafer during polishing, and the shape of the spherical cap distributes that force as first and second forces applied by the outer and inner regions of the cap. That '724 supplements the force on the inner region with fluid pressure is moot-applicant has not provided any limitation or structure that would prevent the application of other

Application/Control Number: 09/843,323

Art Unit: 3723

forces, for whatever reason, to the backside of the wafer. It is inherent to the mechanics of the device that the downward force from the spindle be distributed by the spherical cap.

5. As regards the rejection of claims 12 and 13, applicant has not argued the specifics of the rejection under 35 USC 103, only that the reference does not anticipate the independent claim from which they depend. The examiner concludes that applicant, while not conceding the rejection under 35 USC 102, agrees with the analysis under 35 USC 103.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Rachuba whose telephone number is (703) 308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through

Application/Control Number: 09/843,323

Art Unit: 3723

Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiries concerning other than the content of this and previous communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. RACHUBA PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3723

mtr September 15, 2003